

New Modeling and Simulation Coordination and Management Structure

Congressional Leadership Summit Chesapeake, VA

February 26, 2007

Mr. Fred Hartman

Deputy Director, Readiness and Training,

Office of the Secretary of Defense



Why DoD Needs a New Management Structure for M&S

- The Original Vision for Implementing M&S (5000.59)
 - A coordinated DoD M&S Investment Plan (Never completed)
- If we'd truly implemented the DoD 5000.59 starting in 1994...
 - Would we have common technical development frameworks?
 - Would we have legacy systems with models ready for test and maintained across the life-cycle?
 - Would we be able to help systems interface and exchange data during System Engineering?
 - Would we be able to help create common threat systems and environments for System Engineering?
 - Would we have joint environments today implemented with credible M&S?
 - Would we be able to pull together a Live, Virtual, and Constructive joint capability environment using credible M&S?



Why DoD Needs a New Management Structure for M&S (con't)

- The Promise: Lower Costs
 - The Reality: JMASS, JSIMS and JWARS
 - Credible M&S is not necessarily simple or cheap
- The Promise: Reuse
 - The Reality: Re-use across programs & across acquisition phases within a program is low
- The Promise: Commonality
 - The Reality: Little standardization, little commonality. Programs build their own models for their own purposes.
 - VV&A processes not enforced lots of strategies but expensive
 - How much standardization do we need?
 - DOD standards / considerations are unique



Step 1: Reforming DoD Management

- Centralized coordination and management for M&S still required in DoD
- The Program Decision Memorandum directed GO / SES Steering Committee and the Interim Executive Committee have now merged into the M&S Steering Committee
- DoD to revise DoDD 5000.59, DoD M&S Management.
 - In formal coordination
- M&S funding remains fixed at current levels until business plans and outreach is completed



Step 2: Devise a New M&S Management Structure

New M&S Management Structure Organized by Communities; Designed to Support Communities 1-2 Star M&S Steering Committee (M&S SC) provides governance (formerly EXCIMS) M&S SC and IPT supported by the & M&S CO (formerly DMSO) Acquisition **Analysis Planning Testing Training Experimentation** AT&L PA&F JS DOT&E P&R **JFCOM** & JS & Policy & AT&L **Common and Cross-Cutting M&S Tools** Corporate Focus M&S Practices Common and Cross-Cutting M&S Data Common and Cross-Cutting M&S Services (SE FORUM) (JADM/SC) (AP EXCOM) (JCDE EC) (T₂/E\$G) **\$B Goals: \$B Goals:** Commonality •Reuse Components Effectiveness Interoperability OSD, Joint Staff, COCOMs, Services Visibility/Accessibility Efficiencies



Step 3: Establish Plans and Processes for the M&S Steering Committee

New DoD Instruction 5000.XX

Provides Specifics on Responsibilities, Processes, and Procedures

Community Business Plans

- Current Capabilities
- Needs and Initiatives.
- Captures M&S Tools, M&S Data, and M&S Services

Common and Cross-Cutting Business Plan

Consolidates M&S Tools, M&S Data, and M&S Services

Vision and Processes Still Emerging

- To affect change within the \$Billions spent on M&S investments across the M&S enabled Communities
- To allocate the \$Millions in the Joint Warfighting Simulation Program Element as one tool to affect that change



Step 4: Continually Evaluate Progress Against Original Goals

- The Goal: Effective, Efficient M&S support of Joint Operations
 - Need M&S to be more credible and affordable
- The Goal: Reuse
 - Re-use across programs & communities must increase
- The Goal: Commonality
 - Standardization and commonality consideration as needed



Summary

- DoD M&S management continuing to evolve toward integrating and leveraging of the capabilities in support of the Joint Operations
- We need help from our industry, academia, and interagency partners!

