



Collecting Industry Best Practices for Software Assurance (SwA)

David A. Wheeler
September 7, 2005

- **Existing Best Practices information**
 - **MITRE Survey (4 March 2005)**
 - **Microsoft**
 - **Prescriptive/Menu sources**
 - **Common Criteria, SSE-CMM, FAA/DoD SSE, TSDM, CLASP, books**
- **Discussion**
 - **What are types/examples?**
 - **Who does them? Why? Sufficient?**



MITRE Survey

- **Industry attention in several areas matches DoD needs, should be closely monitored and opportunities for DoD collaboration encouraged at the highest levels**
 - **Defect reduction through static analysis, annotation/specification, test case generation and management**
 - **Autonomic computing and the implications of delegating authority to increasingly autonomous software**
 - **Education and training shortfalls**
 - **Application of embedded system safety techniques and virtual machine technology to broader classes of software and SwA**
- **Some tendencies in Industry are at cross purposes with DoD interests**
 - **Increasing “arms length” outsourcing focused on better control over specifications and resulting code**
 - **Doesn’t address malicious code**



Microsoft Security Development Lifecycle (SDL)

- Requirements: Consider security “up front”
- Design: Architecture/design guidelines (inc. least privilege), doc. attack surface, threat models, supplemental ship criteria
- Development: Coding/testing standards, fuzz testing, static analysis tools, code reviews
- Verification: “Security push” for new and legacy code
- Release: Final Security Review (FSR)
- Final Security Review (FSR) Response
- Support/Service: Respond, adapt processes

Implementation: SDL mandatory; education mandatory; metrics; central security team

Results: Vast decrease in #security bulletins in same time period

<http://msdn.microsoft.com/security/default.aspx?pull=/library/en-us/dnsecure/html/sdl.asp>



Common Criteria

- **“Common Criteria” (ISO/IEC 15408) defines selectable set of security functions & security assurance measures**
- **Evaluation Assurance Levels (EAL 1-7) group assurance measures**
 - **Configuration Management, delivery & operation, development, guidance documents, life cycle support test, vulnerability assessment**
- **Users specify requirements in “Protection Profiles”**
- **Suppliers specify what they do in “Security Targets”**
- **Focus on security products, not general products**
- **Much effort in evaluation of evidence (documentation)**
 - **Higher levels increase testing & vulnerability analysis**
 - **Highest levels involve proofs of models**
- **Presume no intentionally malicious code**
 - **Vendor provides all evidence**
- **Version 3 upcoming**



Systems Security Engineering CMM (SSE-CMM)

- **Focused on IT security system requirements**
- **Security Base Practices:**
 - **Administer Security Controls, Assess Impact , Assess Security Risk, Assess Threat, Assess Vulnerability, Build Assurance Argument, Coordinate Security, Monitor Security Posture, Provide Security Input, Specify Security Needs, Verify and Validate Security**
- **Project/Org Best Practices:**
 - **Ensure Quality, Manage Configurations, Manage Project Risk, Monitor and Control Technical Effort , Plan Technical Effort , Define Organization's Systems Engineering Process, Improve Organization's Systems Engineering Processes, Manage Product Line Evolution, Manage Systems Engineering Support Environment, Provide Ongoing Skills and Knowledge, Coordinate with Suppliers**

<http://www.sse-cmm.org>



FAA/DoD Safety and Security Extensions for iCMMs (SSE, “16 practices”)

- **Goal 1. An infrastructure for safety and security is established and maintained.**
 - **Ensure Safety and Security Competency; Establish Qualified Work Environment; Ensure Integrity of Safety and Security Information; Monitor Operations and Report Incidents; Ensure Business Continuity**
- **Goal 2. Safety and security risks are identified and managed**
 - **Identify Safety and Security Risks; Analyze and Prioritize Risks; Determine, Implement, and Monitor Risk Mitigation Plan**
- **Goal 3. Safety and security requirements are satisfied**
 - **Determine Regulatory Requirements, Laws, and Standards; Develop and Deploy Safe and Secure Products and Services; Objectively Evaluate Products; Establish Safety and Security Assurance Arguments**
- **Goal 4. Activities and products are managed to achieve safety and security requirements and objectives.**
 - **Establish Independent Safety and Security Reporting; Establish a Safety and Security Plan; Select and Manage Suppliers, Products, and Services; Monitor and Control Activities and Products**

FAA/DoD work; see <http://www.faa.gov/ipg>



Trusted Software (Development) Methodology (TSDM, TSM, TCMM)

- **SDIO-created, vs. malicious developers & unreliable sw**
- **5-level (T1..T5) increasing rigor, e.g.:**
 - **T1: Peer review of all requirements, design, source code, tests; placed under CM prior to review**
 - **T2: CM must be able to determine modification history**
 - **T3: Code analysis by tools, limited peer review rates**
 - **T4: Two-person knowledge/responsibility for each component**
 - **T5: Formal methods to specify/verify requirements/ design/ implementation**
- **Categories & Principles:**
 - **Management Policy: Planning, risk mgmt, security policy, reuse integrity, prototyping, shared knowledge**
 - **Environment Controls: CM, I&A, auditing, access control**
 - **Environment Management: Admin, integrity, intrusion detection, trusted distribution**
 - **Software Engineering: Standards, doc, traceability, peer review, formal review, CASE tools, code analysis, testing approach/responsibility, reliability engr, formal methods**



TSM Fife et al. Survey

- **IDA papers P-2829 and P-2999, April 1993**
 - **Surveyed 15 organizations vs. TSM**
 - **5 DoD contractors, 5 TCSEC, 5 commercial**
- **Commercial/TCSEC similar to T1**
- **DoD contractors close to T2 because of DoD-STD-2167A (now abandoned)**
- **Feedback: Not concerned with insider threat, reuse, cost, too many standards**



-
- **CLASP: Comprehensive, Lightweight Application Security Process**
 - **Developed by Secure Software**
 - **Process guide that helps organizations incorporate security into their application development lifecycle**
 - **“Menu” to be selected into process**

- **Design/implementation books:**
 - [Howard 2002] Howard, Michael and David LeBlanc. 2002. *Writing Secure Code*. Redmond, Washington: Microsoft Press.
 - [Wheeler 2003] Wheeler, David A. *Secure Programming for Linux and Unix HOWTO*, March 2003. <http://www.dwheeler.com/secure-programs/>
 - [Viega 2002] Viega, John, and Gary McGraw. 2002. *Building Secure Software*. Addison-Wesley.
 - Draft DISA Application Development STIG (unpublished)



Discussion Time!

- **Please propose/discuss:**
 - **What are the types of best practices?**
 - **What are examples of each?**
 - **Who does them (or not)?**
 - **Why (or why not) do they do them?**
 - **Are they sufficient for industry? DoD?**
 - **Are these general? Contra-indicators?**
 - **How could others be motivated to do them?**
- **Goal: A collection of these answers**