

BREAKOUT SESSION

Qualitative Perspective of the Industry Workforce Situation

- **A summary of the findings from the NDIA Quick-Look Survey, and the AIA and Aviation Week Studies and a discussion to further these studies' goals**

Breakout Session Members

Session Hosts: Tom Gannon, Malcolm Case

- **Vince Lauter, DoD
Manpower Data Center**
- **Robert McGahern, US
Navy ONR**
- **Carole Hedden, Aviation
Week Strategic Media**
- **Alina Shaffer, Harris Corp**
- **Jeff Poindexter, Aerospace
Corp.**
- **James Smith, US Air Force
S&E Career Field Mgt**
- **Julia Warner,
Congressional Ehlers' (MI)
staff**
- **Ron Mutzelburg, Boeing
Phantom Works**
- **David Napier, AIA
Research**

Questions Discussed

- **What are the implications of the findings from the NDIA Quick-Look Survey, and the AIA and Aviation Week Studies?**
- **Is the workforce crisis simply as a shortage environment or is it a shortage of engineers with updated skills, with proper clearances, who are ready at a given time to meet the immediate needs of industry in a competitive labor market.**
- **Are there actually persistent levels of unemployment of some engineering workers?**
- **Is this a manifestation of a need for younger/cheaper engineers while older workers, without updated skills, are leaving the active workforce for other careers.**
- **Are many engineers working in non-engineering jobs, or jobs in which engineering skills are secondary as a result of career growth? Is so, how can this trend be reversed (if necessary)?**

What are implications of the study?

- . Backfill – retirement/attrition
- . Front end hiring by OEMs masks issues vs. lower tier and govt.
- . Attrition knowledge – where in career cycle occurs

DoD civilian jobs – age at retirement outside science and eng at 55.56. Scientists and engineers work to age 60 with 34 to 35 years of service. Function of general economy.

- **DoD doesn't project retirements. Average age is 46. Comparable to industry.**
- **Low retirement level impact on front end of pipeline – don't have \$ for both ends**
- **Transition re retirements – how handle smoothly
- technical – customer relations**
- **What is average age for commercial retirement (Boeing is 62)**
- **Low attrition makes hard to bring in new talent**
- **Is vol attrition higher among those with clearances? Belief is yes.**

- **9-12 months down time results in early term attrition re clearances**
- **Labor dept study 25-34yo – was 27% of workforce, now 12% from '92 to '03**
- **Govt agencies have no goal in terms of campus recruiting; may occur at local levels**
 - **opportunity with retirement projections**
 - **can we adequately project future needs**
- **Forecast – success in predicting future needs for size and skill mix**
 - **govt has Civil Service and Fed Employee Retirement Systems (about 60% is FERS)**

- **Forecast re personnel needs – who could predict some of major events (9/11), new program launches, funding instability (SLAM, Crusader, Comanche) – not just #s but skills**
- **In identifying gaps, sometimes becomes too vanilla to be actionable or too specific – stop light useful but...**
- **Does academia offer govt as career option or project correct information/data on careers**

- **Persistent unemployment among engineers – there are persistent needs in skill disciplines but also some unemployment – don't match**
- **Network, telecom etc have surplus but not easily transferable to DoD, Security or A&D industry (from other tech industries) (5% in silicon valley vs 5.4% nationwide)**
- . **Life (or lack of)of engineering student given the outsourcing situation**
- . **Not a central goal – space, new platforms, etc. to rally around**

- **The mix on skills/shortages is affected by funding and program uncertainties, a multi-faceted national vision without necessary long-term support, the inability to anticipate next threat and lack of accepted integrated plan for change.**

“Making predictions is tough...particularly about the future.”

- **Funding/project uncertainties make projections difficult re specific skills and gaps as well as clearance status**
- **Govt difficulty in establishing on-campus recruiting target; wait for vacancies to develop (retirements)**
- **Handling retiree knowledge/experience/relations transition**
- **Know what core science/engineering required to maintain national “edge” despite global economy and coalition needs; we don’t know**
- **Research for research sake – is it possible to maintain, ROI for govt and companies**
- **Status of academia – concern due to lack of knowing status on their skill gaps, retirements, etc.)**
- **Multi-faceted vision – terrorism, Moon2Mars, transport advances, security/defense volatility**

Recommended Actions

RECOMMENDED ACTION	Who	RECOMMENDED RESULT
<p>Integrated plan of developing future workforce for national defense/security owned by single authority for continuous planning/ action – macro level</p>	<p>DDRE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Share best practices . Outreach . Focused vision/imperative . Research/data analysis . Establish new blood policy across DoD to sustain entry level recruiting (requires budget line)
<p>Clear technical/security/defense imperative that results in continuous forecast of future Science Tech Eng Math and enabler/ enterprise job skills (top 10 or 25, not hundreds) needed for national defense/ security</p>	<p>Pres. Council on Science & Tech – Pres. Science Advisor (OSTP)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Focused mission/ rallying point that has longevity . Applied research budget to sustain
<p>Common lexicon for top-level skills <u>and</u> highly detailed inventory re jobs (e.g. system engineer) Must include production workforce</p>	<p>AIA</p>	<p>Maximize professional development, Identify replacement requirements across govt, industry and academia (ride the troughs)</p> <p>Funding to maintain database</p>

Action	Who	Result